U261. Let  $T_n(x)$  be the sequence of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, defined by  $T_0(x) = 0$ ,  $T_1(x) = x$ , and

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . Prove that for all  $x \geq 1$  and all positive integers n

$$x \le \sqrt[n]{T_n(x)} \le 1 + n(x - 1).$$

Proposed by Arkady Alt, San Jose, California, USA

Solution by Daniel Lasaosa, Universidad Pública de Navarra, Spain

The formal definition of Chebyshev polynomials is as in the problem statement, but with  $T_0(x) = 1$ . We will actually use this definition in the following solution, since among other things, the definition with  $T_0(x) = 0$  results after induction in  $T_n(1) = n$  for all n, hence the second inequality would not hold. With the definition  $T_0(x) = 1$ , as we will soon see, both inequalities hold.

Assume that x is a fixed value, and for said x, consider the x-dependent sequence  $(s_n)_{n\geq 0}$  defined by  $s_0=1, s_1=x$ , and for all  $n\geq 2, s_n=2xs_{n-1}-s_{n-2}$ . Using standard techniques, it follows that

$$s_n = \frac{\left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)^n + \left(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)^n}{2} \ge \left(\frac{\left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right) + \left(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)}{2}\right)^n = x^n,$$

where we have used the power-mean inequality, which is valid since  $x \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 1}$  are positive reals because  $0 \le \sqrt{x^2 - 1} < x$  for all  $x \ge 1$ . Note that equality holds iff equality in the power mean inequality holds, ie iff  $\sqrt{x^2 - 1} = 0$ , for x = 1, or iff x = 1 for all x = 1.

The second inequality can be rewritten as

$$T_n(x) \le (1 + n(x - 1))^n$$
.

For n = 0, both sides are identically 1, while for n = 1, both sides are identically x, or the inequality holds with equality for all  $x \ge 1$  in these cases. For n = 2, the inequality rewrites as

$$2x^2 - 1 \le (2x - 1)^2, \qquad 2(x - 1)^2 \ge 0,$$

clearly true, with equality iff x = 1. Now, we will show by induction that, for all  $n \ge 2$  and all x, we have

$$T_n(x) = 2(x-1)\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)T_k(x) + n(x-1)T_0(x) + 1.$$

For n=2 and n=3, this result is respectively equivalent to

$$T_2(x) = 2(x-1)T_1(x) + 2(x-1) + 1 = 2x^2 - 1,$$

$$T_3(x) = 2(x-1)T_2(x) + 4(x-1)T_1(x) + 3(x-1) + 1 = 2xT_2(x) - x$$

clearly true in both cases. These are our base cases, and if the result holds for n, n-1, then

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2(x-1)T_n(x) + 2T_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x) =$$

$$= 2(x-1)T_n(x) + 4(x-1)T_{n-1}(x) + 2(x-1)\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (n-k+1)T_k(x) + (n+1)(x-1)T_0(x) + 1,$$

where we have used the hypothesis of induction for n, n-1, and the result clearly holds for n+1 too. Hence it holds for all positive integer n.

Now, this means that, if for some  $n \geq 3$  the inequality holds for  $1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ , then

$$T_n(x) \le 2(x-1)\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k) (1+k(x-1))^k + n(x-1) + 1,$$

with equality iff x = 1, since  $T_2(x) = (1 + 2(x - 1))^2$  iff x = 1, and if x = 1 then both sides are identically 1. Using the expression for the sum of the geometric progression with ratio 1 + n(x - 1) from 1 to  $(1 + n(x - 1))^{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$(1+n(x-1))^n - 1 = n(x-1)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (1+n(x-1))^k,$$

or it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 + n(x-1))^k \ge \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2(n-k)}{n} (1 + k(x-1))^k.$$

If n is even, when  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  the term in the LHS is  $(1 + n(x-1))^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , and in the RHS is  $(1 + \frac{n}{2}(x-1))^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , clearly not larger, and equal iff x = 1. Whether n is odd or even, every k other than  $\frac{n}{2}$  can be grouped in pairs of sum n, or it suffices to show that, for all integer k such that  $1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}$ , we have

$$(1+n(x-1))^k + (1+n(x-1))^{n-k} \ge \frac{2(n-k)}{n} (1+k(x-1))^k + \frac{2k}{n} (1+(n-k)(x-1))^{n-k}.$$

Now, since k < n - k < n for all such n, we have  $1 + k(x - 1) \le 1 + (n - k)(x - 1) \le 1 + n(x - 1)$ , with equality iff x = 1, or it suffices to show that

$$\frac{n-2k}{n} \left(1 + n(x-1)\right)^{n-k} \ge \frac{n-2k}{n} \left(1 + n(x-1)\right)^k,$$

clearly true since n-2k>0 and  $1+n(x-1)\geq 1$ , with equality again iff x=1.

The conclusion follows, equality holds in both equalities iff either n = 1 and for all  $x \ge 1$ , or x = 1 for all n.

Also solved by Daniel Văcaru, Colegiul Economic "Maria Teiuleanu", Piteşti, Romania; Radouan Boukharfane, Polytechnique de Montreal, Canada.