

*Editor's comment.* The above proposer's solution is the only solution that did not make use of Bertrand's postulate.

*Solution 2, by Joseph DiMuro, expanded by the editor.*

Note that  $c > a$  and  $c > b$  since  $c! > b^b > b!$ . Suppose that  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $b$ . Then  $p$  must divide  $b!$ ,  $b^b$  and  $c!$ , so that  $p$  must divide  $a!$  and  $p \leq a$ . Thus, if  $a = 1$ , then  $b = 1$  and we get the solution  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 2)$ . If  $a = 2$ , then  $b \neq 1$  and the only prime divisor of  $b$  is 2. But then  $b^b$  is a multiple of 4 and  $c! \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . The only possibility is  $(a, b, c) = (2, 2, 3)$ .

Suppose, if possible, that  $a \geq 3$ ; let  $q$  be the largest prime that does not exceed  $a$ . Then, by Bertrand's postulate that when  $m \geq 2$  there is always a prime between  $m$  and  $2m$ ,  $a < 2q$ , so that  $q^2$  cannot divide  $a!$ . However, since  $q$  divides  $a$ , it must divide  $c!$  and hence divide  $b$ . Since  $a \geq 3$ ,  $a!$  and  $c!$  are both even as is  $b$ . Because  $b \neq 2$ , we must have that  $c \geq b \geq 2q$  (whether  $q = 2$  or  $q$  is odd). Hence  $q^2$  divides  $c!$  and  $b^b$  and so must divide  $a!$ , yielding a contradiction.

Therefore, the sole solutions are  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 2), (2, 2, 3)$ .

### 3837. Proposed by Arkady Alt.

Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence defined recursively by

$$u_{n+1} = \frac{u_n + u_{n-1} + u_{n-2} + u_{n-3}}{4},$$

for  $n \geq 3$ . Determine  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$  in terms of  $u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3$ .

*Solved by AN-anduud Problem Solving Group; R. Barbara; M. Bataille; M. Benito, Ó. Ciaurri, E. Fernández, and L. Roncal; P. Deiermann; J. DiMuro; O. Kouba; K. Lewis; Á. Plaza; C. R. Pranesachar; D. Smith; D. Stone and J. Hawkins; R. Zarnowski; and the proposer. We present 2 solutions.*

*Solution 1, by Joseph DiMuro.*

We prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \frac{1}{10}u_0 + \frac{2}{10}u_1 + \frac{3}{10}u_2 + \frac{4}{10}u_3$ . The proof is by visual aid.

Put 10 water glasses on a table. Pour  $u_0$  mL of water into one glass. Pour  $u_1$  mL of water into each of 2 glasses, pour  $u_2$  mL into each of 3 glasses, and pour  $u_3$  mL into each of the remaining 4 glasses. Put the glasses into groups based on the amount of water in each glass. (So, the lone glass with  $u_0$  mL is in a group by itself, the 2 glasses with  $u_1$  mL form another group, and so on.)

Now, perform the following operation repeatedly: take one glass from each group. Pour water between those four glasses until they all have the same amount. Then put those four glasses back on the table as a new group. (Each of the old groups will have one fewer glass than before.)

After performing this operation once, you will have 1 glass with  $u_1$  mL, 2 glasses with  $u_2$  mL, 3 glasses with  $u_3$  mL, and 4 glasses with  $u_4$  mL. After performing

this operation a second time, you will have 1 glass with  $u_2$  mL, 2 glasses with  $u_3$  mL, 3 glasses with  $u_4$  mL, and 4 glasses with  $u_5$  mL. And so on.

The amount of water in each glass will gradually approach  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$  must be equal to the average amount of water per glass at the start:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \frac{1}{10}u_0 + \frac{2}{10}u_1 + \frac{3}{10}u_2 + \frac{4}{10}u_3.$$

*Editor's comment.* This solution, as well the argument above, generalize to any sequence where  $u_n$  is defined to be the average of the  $k$  previous terms. This solution does assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$  exists. Its existence can be proven using the roots of the characteristic polynomial for the recurrence relation, as in the next solution.

*Solution 2, by Michel Bataille.*

The characteristic equation of the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is

$$4x^4 - x^3 - x^2 - x - 1 = 0,$$

that is,

$$(x - 1)(4x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 1) = 0.$$

The function  $f : x \mapsto 4x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 1$  is continuous and strictly increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $f(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ , so the equation  $f(x) = 0$  has a unique real solution, say  $r$ . Noticing that  $f(-1) = -2 < 0$  and  $f(-\frac{1}{4}) > 0$ , we see that

$$-1 < r < -\frac{1}{4} \quad (1)$$

The non real solutions to  $f(x) = 0$  are two complex conjugates  $z_0$  and  $\bar{z}_0$  and since  $r \cdot z_0 \cdot \bar{z}_0 = -\frac{1}{4}$ , we have  $|z_0|^2 = \frac{1}{4|r|}$ , hence  $|z_0| < 1$  since by (1),  $|r| > \frac{1}{4}$ .

From the list  $1, r, z_0, \bar{z}_0$  of the roots of the characteristic equation, we deduce the form of  $u_n$ :

$$u_n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 r^n + \alpha_3 z_0^n + \alpha_4 \bar{z}_0^n$$

where the  $\alpha_j$  are independent of  $n$  and determined from  $u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3$ .

Since  $|r| < 1$  and  $|z_0| = |\bar{z}_0| < 1$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_0^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{z}_0^n = 0$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \alpha_1$ .

Now, the following relations hold

$$u_0 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \quad u_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 r + \alpha_3 z_0 + \alpha_4 \bar{z}_0, \quad u_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 r^2 + \alpha_3 z_0^2 + \alpha_4 \bar{z}_0^2$$

and

$$u_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 r^3 + \alpha_3 z_0^3 + \alpha_4 \bar{z}_0^3.$$

Since  $f(r) = f(z_0) = f(\bar{z}_0) = 0$ , we obtain

$$u_0 + 2u_1 + 3u_2 + 4u_3 = 10\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 f(r) + \alpha_3 f(z_0) + \alpha_4 f(\bar{z}_0) = 10\alpha_1$$

and we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \frac{u_0 + 2u_1 + 3u_2 + 4u_3}{10}.$$

*Editor's comment.* Perfetti pointed out that this result appeared in "On the Solutions of Linear Mean Recurrences", American Mathematical Monthly, 121 (6).

**3838.** *Proposed by Jung In Lee.*

Prove that there are no triplets  $(a, b, c)$  of distinct positive integers that satisfy the conditions:

- $a + b$  divides  $c^2$ ,  $b + c$  divides  $a^2$ ,  $c + a$  divides  $b^2$ , and
- the number of distinct prime factors of  $abc$  is at most 2.

*Solved by M. Benito, Ó. Ciaurri, E. Fernández, and L. Roncal; J. DiMuro; S. Malikić; and the proposer. We present the proposer's solution.*

Suppose  $(a, b, c)$  is a triplet of distinct positive integers satisfying the given conditions. Let  $a = p^{x_1}q^{y_1}$ ,  $b = p^{x_2}q^{y_2}$  and  $c = p^{x_3}q^{y_3}$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct prime numbers and  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are nonnegative integers for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$ . We consider two cases separately.

*Case 1.* Suppose  $x_i > x_j$  and  $y_i > y_j$ . Then we have

$$p^{x_j}q^{y_j}(p^{x_i-x_j}q^{y_i-y_j} + 1) = p^{x_i}q^{y_i} + p^{x_j}q^{y_j},$$

which divides  $p^{2x_k}q^{2y_k}$ . So

$$p^{x_i-x_j}q^{y_i-y_j} + 1 | p^{2x_k}q^{2y_k},$$

which is impossible since  $(p^{x_i-x_j}q^{y_i-y_j} + 1, p^{2x_k}q^{2y_k}) = 1$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose  $x_i > x_j$  and  $y_i < y_j$ . Then we have

$$p^{x_j}q^{y_j}(p^{x_i-x_j} + q^{y_j-y_i}) = p^{x_i}q^{y_i} + p^{x_j}q^{y_j},$$

which divides  $p^{2x_k}q^{2y_k}$ . So

$$p^{x_i-x_j} + q^{y_j-y_i} | p^{2x_k}q^{2y_k},$$

which is impossible since  $(p^{x_i-x_j} + q^{y_j-y_i}, p^{2x_k}q^{2y_k}) = 1$ .

By cases 1 and 2, we have  $x_i = x_j$  or  $y_i = y_j$ . It follows that either two or more of the statements  $x_1 = x_2$ ,  $x_2 = x_3$  and  $x_3 = x_1$  are true or two or more of the statements  $y_1 = y_2$ ,  $y_2 = y_3$  and  $y_3 = y_1$  are true. Hence  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$  or  $y_1 = y_2 = y_3$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x$ . Since the given conditions are homogenous in  $a, b$  and  $c$ , which are distinct, we may assume that  $y_1 > y_2 > y_3$ . Then

$$p^x q^{y_1} + p^x q^{y_2} = p^x q^{y_2} (q^{y_1-y_2} + 1),$$