Let $f:[0,1]\to(0,\infty)$ be a continuous function. Find the value of

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt[n]{f(\frac{1}{n})} + \sqrt[n]{f(\frac{2}{n})} + \dots + \sqrt[n]{f(\frac{n}{n})}}{n} \right)^n.$$

Solutions

• 5164: Proposed by Kenneth Korbin, New York, NY

A triangle has integer length sides (a, b, c) such that a - b = b - c. Find the dimensions of the triangle if the inradius $r = \sqrt{13}$.

Solution 1 by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland

If a, b and c are the side lengths of the triangle then the inradius r is given by the formula

$$r = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(b+c-a)(c+a-b)(a+b-c)}{a+b+c}}. \text{ (see, e.g., http//mathworld.wolfram.com/Inradius.html)}.$$

By assumption, c = 2b - a. So

$$\sqrt{13} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(3b-2a)(2a-b)}{3}}$$
, or equivalently $(3b-2a)(2a-b) = 156$.

Obviously b is even. (If b were odd, then both 3b - 2a and 2a - b are odd, and therefore their product would be odd, which is not true.) So b = 2b' and this gives the equation

$$(3b'-a)(a-b')=39.$$

Note that 39 = xy is the product of two integers. So,

$$(x,y) \in \{(1,39), (3,13), (13,3), (39,1), (-1,-39), (-3,-13), (-13,-3), (-39,-1)\}$$

If 3b' - a = x and a - b' = y, then

$$b' = \frac{x+y}{2}, \text{ and}$$

$$a = \frac{x+3y}{2}.$$

We find $(a, b, c) \in \{(59, 40, 21), (21, 16, 11), (11, 16, 21), (21, 40, 59)\}$, and we easily verify that each triplet satisfies the triangle inequality.

Solution 2 by Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA

Let F and s be the area and semiperimeter. Since a+c=2b then $s=\frac{a+b+c}{2}=\frac{3b}{2}$,

and using
$$F = \sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)} = sr$$
 we obtain

$$(s-a)(s-b)(s-c) = sr^{2} \iff \left(\frac{3b}{2} - a\right)\left(\frac{3b}{2} - b\right)\left(\frac{3b}{2} - c\right) = 13 \cdot \frac{3b}{2}$$

$$\iff \left(\frac{3b}{2} - a\right)\left(\frac{3b}{2} - c\right) = 39$$

$$\iff \left(\frac{9b^{2}}{4} - (a+c)\frac{3b}{2} + ac\right) = 39 \iff \left(\frac{9b^{2}}{4} - 2b \cdot \frac{3b}{2} + ac\right) = 39$$

$$\iff 4ac - 3b^{2} = 12 \cdot 13.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{cases} a+c=2b \\ 4ac-3b^2=156 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} 4a(2b-a)-3b^2=156 \\ c=2b-a \end{cases}$$
 if, and only if,

$$\begin{cases} 4a(2b-a) - 3b^2 = 156 \\ c = 2b - a \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} 8ab - a^2 - 3b^2 = 156 \\ c = 2b - a. \end{cases}$$

Since $8ab - a^2 - 3b^2 = (3b - 2a)(2a - b)$ and

$$\begin{cases} a < s \\ b < s \\ c < s \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} 2a < 3b \\ c < s \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} 2a < 3b \\ 2(2b - a) < 3b \end{cases} \iff b < 2a < 3b$$

then the problem is equivalent to the system

(1)
$$\begin{cases} (3b - 2a)(2a - b) = 156 \\ b < 2a < 3b. \end{cases}$$

Since $3b - 2a \equiv 2a - b \pmod{2}$ and $156 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 13 = 2 \cdot 78 = 6 \cdot 26$ then (1) in positive integers is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} 3b - 2a = k \\ 2a - b = m \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} 2b = k + m \\ 4a = k + 3m \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} a = \frac{k + 3m}{4} \\ b = \frac{k + m}{2} \end{cases},$$

where $(k, m) \in \{(2, 78), (78, 2), (6, 26), (26, 6)\}$.

Noting that the inequality $b < 2a < 3b \iff \frac{k+m}{2} < \frac{k+3m}{2} < \frac{3(k+m)}{2}$ holds for any positive k, m we finally obtain

$$(a,b) \in \{(59,40), (21,40), (21,16), (11,16)\}.$$

Thus, $(a, b, c) \in \{(59, 40, 21), (21, 40, 59), (21, 16, 11), (11, 16, 21)\}$ are all solutions of the problem.

Comment by David Stone and John Hawkins, Statesboro, GA. In their featured solutions to SSM 5146 (May 2011 issue) both Kee-Wai Lau and Brian Beasley found all integral triangles with in-radius $\sqrt{13}$. Note that the condition a - b = b - c is equivalent to b = (a + c)/2. That is, irrespective of how one might label or order the sides, the side b must be the "middle-length" side, the average of the other two sides.

Also solved by Brain D. Beasley, Clinton, SC; Valmir Bucaj (student, Texas Lutheran University), Seguin, TX; Elsie M. Campbell, Dionne T. Bailey and Charles Diminnie (jointly), San Angelo TX; Bruno Salgueiro Fanego, Viveiro, Spain; Tania Moreno García, University of Holguín (UHO), Holguín, Cuba jointly with José Pablo Suárez Rivero, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (ULPGC), Spain; Paul M. Harms, North Newton, KS; Enkel Hysnelaj, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia jointly with Elton Bojaxhiu, Kriftel, Germany; Sugie Lee, John Patton, and Matthew Fox (jointly; students at Taylor University), Upland, IN; Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China; David E. Manes, Oneonta, NY; Charles McCracken, Dayton, OH; Boris Rays, Brooklyn, NY; David Stone and John Hawkins (jointly), Statesboro, GA; Jim Wilson, Athens, GA, and the proposer.

• 5165: Proposed by Thomas Moore, Bridgewater, MA

"Dedicated to Dr. Thomas Koshy, friend, colleague and fellow Fibonacci enthusiast."

Let $\sigma(n)$ denote the sum of all the different divisors of the positive integer n. Then n is perfect, deficient, or abundant according as $\sigma(n) = 2n, \sigma(n) < 2n$, or $\sigma(n) > 2n$. For example, 1 and all primes are deficient; 6 is perfect, and 12 is abundant. Find infinitely many integers that are not the product of two deficient numbers.

Solution 1 by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China

Let $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 3$, $p_3 = 5$, ... be the sequence of primes. We show that for any positive integer n, the integer $\prod_{\substack{k=1\\ n+10}}^{n+10} p_k$ is not the product of two deficient numbers.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $\prod_{k=1}^{n} p_k = ab$, where both a and b are deficient numbers. Clearly a and b are relatively prime and so

$$4\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n+10} p_i\right) = 4ab > \sigma(a)\sigma(b) = \sigma(ab) = \sigma\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n+10} p_k\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+10} (1+p_k).$$

Hence,

$$4 > \prod_{k=1}^{n+10} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \ge \prod_{k=1}^{11} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k} \right) = \frac{3822059520}{955049953} = 4.0019 \dots,$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the solution.

Solution 2 by Stephen Chou, Talbot Knighton, and Tom Peller (students at Taylor University), Upland, IN

All negative numbers have the same numerical divisors as their positive counterparts;